UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

MEGAN PETE, an individual,	
Plaintiff,	Civil Action No. 1:24-CV-24228-CMA
MILAGRO ELIZABETH COOPER, an individual,	
Defendant.	

PLAINTIFF'S [PROPOSED] VERDICT FORM¹

Pursuant to the Court's Amended Case Management and Scheduling Order (Dkt. 62), Plaintiff Megan Pete ("Ms. Pete") respectfully submits her proposed verdict form.

Ms. Pete respectfully reserves the right to amend her proposed verdict form prior to the time it is given to the jury, depending on the evidence received at trial, the Court's rulings, or any other factors that preclude a definitive submission at this time.

Plaintiff's counsel submits this verdict form unilaterally. Plaintiff's counsel proposed a mutual exchange of documents to occur on August 28, to meet the Court ordered deadline of September 5. From September 2 through September 5, the Parties met and conferred on multiple occasions by zoom. Despite these conferrals, Defendant's counsel would not agree to a joint proposed verdict form and declined to provide language in the font required by the Court at ECF No. 62 to show competing language. Instead, Defendant's counsel indicated that they would be seeking an extension of time to submit a competing verdict form.

Dated: September 5, 2025

John O'Sullivan (Fla. Bar No. 143154)
Daniel L. Humphrey (Fla. Bar No. 1024695)
johnosullivan@quinnemanuel.com
danielhumphrey@quinnemanuel.com
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP
2601 South Bayshore Drive, Suite 1500
Miami, FL 33133
(305) 402-4880

Mari F. Henderson (pro hac vice)
Marie Hayrapetian (pro hac vice)
Bomie Lee (pro hac vice)
Julian T. Schoen (pro hac vice)
marihenderson@quinnemanuel.com
mariehayrapetian@quinnemanuel.com
bomielee@quinnemanuel.com
julianschoen@quinnemanuel.com
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP
865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017
(213) 443-3000

Joanna E. Menillo (pro hac vice) joannamenillo@quinnemanuel.com QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 295 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10016 (212) 849-7000

Attorneys for Plaintiff Megan Pete

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

MEGAN PETE, an individual,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	Civil Action No. 1:24-CV-24228-CMA
MILAGRO ELIZABETH COOPER, an individual,	
Defendant.	

PLAINTIFF'S [PROPOSED] VERDICT FORM

In answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, you are to follow the Court's Final Instructions to the Jury and any instructions provided in this Verdict Form. Your answer to each of the following must be unanimous.

We, the jury, unanimously answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

I. MS. PETE'S CLAIMS

COUNT ONE: DEFAMATION PER SE

Question 1: Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Ms. Cooper defamed
Ms. Pete by making statements accusing Ms. Pete of committing perjury?
Yes No
Regardless of whether your answer is "Yes" or "No," proceed to the next question.
Question 2: Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Ms. Cooper defame
Ms. Pete by making statements that Ms. Pete is mentally incapacitated?
Yes No
Regardless of whether your answer is "Yes" or "No," proceed to the next question.
Question 3: Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Ms. Cooper defame Ms. Pete by making statements that Ms. Pete suffers from alcoholism?
Yes No
If you answered "Yes" to any of Question 1 or Question 2 or Question 3 proceed to
Question 4. If you answered "No" to any of those Questions, skip the remaining questions under
"Count One: Defamation Per Se," and proceed to "Count Two: Promotion of an Altered Sexual
Depiction."
Question 4: How much do you award Ms. Pete in special damages for her defamation
claim, if any?

	\$	
	Question 5: Do you find, b	by clear and convincing evidence, that punitive damages are
warra	nted against Ms. Cooper on M	s. Pete's defamation claim?
	Yes	No
	If your answer is "Yes," in v	what amount:

Source for (1), (2), and (3): Florida Statute § 837.02; see also *Klayman v. Judicial Watch, Inc.*, 22 F. Supp. 3d 1240, 1247 n.3 (S.D. Fla. 2014); Final Verdict Form, Larry KLAYMAN, Plaintiff, v. JUDICIAL WATCH, INC., Defendant., 2014 WL 3533588, Dkt. 147; *see also Mastandrea v. Snow*, 333 So. 3d 326 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2022).

COUNT TWO: PROMOTION OF AN ALTERED SEXUAL DEPICTION

Question 6: Do y	ou find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Ms. Cooper willful
and maliciously promoted	, without Ms. Pete's consent, a visual depiction of Ms. Pete that s
knew or reasonably shou	d have known was an altered sexual depiction in violation of Flori
Statute § 836.13?	
Yes	No
	Yes," proceed to the next question. If your answer is "No," skip the
0.1	"Count Two: Promotion of an Altered Sexual Depiction," and Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress."
Question 7: Do y	ou find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Ms. Pete should
awarded statutory damage	s, in the amount of \$10,000 or actual damages, whichever is greater, t
each instance of a violation	of the statute?
Yes	No
If your answer is "	Ves " in what amount:

COUNT THREE: INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

Question 8: Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Ms. Cooper
intentionally or recklessly engaged in extreme and outrageous conduct toward Ms. Pete?
Yes No
If your answer is "Yes," proceed to the next question. If your answer is "No," skip the
remaining questions under "Count Three: Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress," and sign
the Verdict Form.
Question 9: Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Ms. Pete suffered severe
emotional distress as a result of Ms. Cooper's extreme and outrageous conduct?
Yes No
If your answer is "Yes," proceed to the next question. If your answer is "No," skip the
remaining questions under "Count Three: Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress," and sign
the Verdict Form.
Question 10: Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Ms. Pete should be
awarded compensatory damages against Ms. Cooper on her intentional infliction of emotional
distress claim?
Yes No
If your answer is "Yes," in what amount:
If you answered "Yes" to Question 10, skip the next Question and proceed to Question

12. If you answered "No" to question 10, proceed to Question 11.

	Question 11: If you found to	hat Ms. Pete is not entitled to compensatory damages, do you	
find, l	find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Ms. Pete should be awarded nominal damages		
agains	st Ms. Cooper?		
	Yes	No	
	If your answer is "Yes," in v	what amount:	
	Question 12: Do you find,	by clear and convincing evidence, that punitive damages are	
warrai	nted against Ms. Cooper on M	Is. Pete's intentional infliction of emotional distress claim?	
	Yes	No	
	If your answer is "Yes," in what amount:		
	Signed:		
	Presiding Jur	or	
	Dated:		

You are finished. Please ensure the Verdict Form accurately reflects your unanimous decisions. Once signed by the Jury Foreperson, please notify the Court's Clerk that you have reached a verdict. The Jury Foreperson should maintain possession of this Verdict Form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the Courtroom.